4 December 1952

MEMORANDES FOR: Assistant Deputy/Inspection and Security

MAJICT!

Proposal to SECIO by Sray to Provide Against "Palse Confirmation" of U. S. Intelligence

RAPERSBOEL

- 1. Memorandum dated & Mevember 1952 from Army member, MIN
- 2. Hemorandum from AD/IES to AD/IC, dated 19 Hovesber 1952

25X1A9a

of my staff discussed this proposal with when we first received it. The Army proposal appeared incomthat the paper be rewritten 25X1A9a suggested to 25X1A9a to clarify: 25X1A9a

That it applied to intelligence on the area for which is responsible (passed to CIA crelly);

25X1X7□

25X1X7□

- b. That the resolution, if adopted, would be permissive only not affecting existing agreements;
- c. That the paper is confined to military information (including military intelligence); and
- d. That the paper be more specific is its reference to existing policy papers (and those papers should be attached) which would be superseded.

25X1A9a

discussed these points with the Army and was advised that those concerns could be handled crally and that the paper therefore would not require revision.

then discussed the paper with several interested Cla offices. It was apparent from these discussions that as the paper lacked clarity so cas would consider their attitude final until the 25X1A9a paper was rewritten in more precise language. Following and conveyed discussions with CIA offices he again spoke with to him the range of CIA opinion on the paper and consensus of that 25X1A9a opinion. Comments on the paper as written ranged from concurrence to opposition. A summary of those comments is as follows:

Security Information

Approved For Release 2001/08/17 : SEG-RPF61S00750A000700070022-2

Security Information

	# ·	Alta	OUGE	it is	underst	cod that	the paper	龙旗	eddressod	caly
to	#111	tery	infor	mat1o	s it dos	s set se	ntate and	1.0	therefore	aub jac
to	crit	ic iom	teat	the	broboat;	extends	beyond the	jı	uriediction	of
3	IC:									

- b. It is understood that the intelligence area concerned is that for which so state; $1 \times responsible but the paper does not 25X1X7 \square$
- c. The paper does not indicate which policy papers it would supersede:
- d. The paper appears to violate existing international agreements and CIA regulations which prevent passage from one 25X1X7 country to another of U. 5. information without U. 8. approval:

25X1X7 to one with controls to prevent it from being given to another that the paper night be meaningless if so country included in the country and co

- f. Even when "military intelligence" only is involved it is sometimes unvise to disclose the <u>national</u> source of all or part of the information upon which that intelligence is based:
- g. It might be the result of such a proposal that CIA would give the Army less information for fear of disclosure of the U.S. as the source.

25X1A9a

felt that one possible solution to the problem of security against "false confirmation" would be the case by case method of one country asking a second country for the source of certain intelligence and that second country then checking with the country or origin for permission to reveal it as the source. This could be done without setting up any carte blanche arrangement which appears to be bazardous.

CONCLUMION

trem the CIA offices we recommend that our SEMIC number register an objection to the Army proposal as written and, if the proposal continues before SEMIC, he seek a more precise paper to encompass the points mentioned above.

Approved For Release 2001/08/17 : CIA-RDP61S00750A000700070022-2

SECRET

Security Information

5. As an alternative to the Army proposal our SDMIC member might consider the suggestion contained in paragraph 3 above.

JAMES Q. RESER Assistant Director Intelligence Coordination



SECRET
Security Information